

whether each of said subjects were proximate to said location of said crime at said time of said crime.

^{45 35}
C 46. The method as set forth in Claim 35, wherein said crime incident data includes crime incident dispatch data obtained from a computer-aided dispatch system.

^{45 35}
C 47. The method as set forth in Claims 35, wherein said crime incident data includes crime incident investigative data obtained a from record management system;

^{35 36 37}
^{45, 46 or 47}
C 48. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further comprising the steps of:

supplying historical subject data to said correlation database;

correlating said historical subject data with said crime incident data and said subject location data to determine whether said likely suspects of said crime are historically likely to have committed said crime based upon said historical subject data of said likely suspects.

^{35 36 37}
^{45, 46 or 47}
C 49. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, wherein said subject location data containing information about the locations at various times of a plurality of subjects is obtained from at least one of a house arrest location system, a location recording system employing a positioning system, a continuous location monitoring system employing a frequency triangular location system, voice recognition with caller identification system, or a cellular triangular location system.

C 46 50. The method as set forth in Claims ^{35, 36 or 37} ~~35, 36 or 37~~, further including the step of producing correlation queries based upon predetermined criteria.


C 41 51. The method as set forth in Claims ^{35, 45, 46 or 47} ~~35, 36 or 37~~, further including the step of producing correlation queries based upon selective criteria.

52. ⁴² The method as set forth in Claim ⁴¹ ~~51~~, further including the step of producing correlation reports based upon said correlation queries.

B1 C 43 53. The method as set forth in Claims ^{35, 36 or 37} ~~35, 36 or 37~~, further including the step of correlating tampering data with said crime incident data to determine whether said likely suspects of said crime are more likely to have committed said crime based upon said tampering data.

C 44 54. The method as set forth in Claims ^{35, 36 or 37} ~~35, 36 or 37~~, further including the step of correlating unknown location data with said crime incident data to determine whether said likely suspects of said crime are more likely to have committed said crime based upon said unknown position data.--

Respectfully submitted,


Stefan V. Stein 29,702
Holland & Knight LLP
Suite 2300
400 N. Ashley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone: 813/227-8500
Facsimile: 813/229-0134
Email: sstein@hklaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing was faxed to 703 746-5606 to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this the 28th day of September, 2001.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required at any time during the prosecution of this application without specific authorization, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-1667.



Stefan V. Stein

AMENDED CLAIMS

45. A method for correlating crime incidents with a subjects' location, comprising the steps of:

- providing a correlation computer including a correlation database;
- supplying crime incident data to said correlation database;
- supplying subject location data to said correlation database;
- correlating said crime incident data and said subject location data to determine if each of said subjects are likely suspects of said crime based upon whether each of said subjects were proximate to said location of said crime at said time of said crime.

46. The method as set forth in Claim 35, wherein said crime incident data includes crime incident dispatch data obtained from a computer-aided dispatch system.

47. The method as set forth in Claims 35, wherein said crime incident data includes crime incident investigative data obtained a from record management system.

48. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further comprising the steps of:

- supplying historical subject data to said correlation database;
- correlating said historical subject data with said crime incident data and said subject location data to determine whether said likely suspects of said

B

crime are historically likely to have committed said crime based upon said historical subject data of said likely suspects.

49. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, wherein said subject location data containing information about the locations at various times of a plurality of subjects is obtained from at least one of a house arrest location system, a location recording system employing a positioning system, a continuous location monitoring system employing a frequency triangular location system, voice recognition with caller identification system, or a cellular triangular location system.

50. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further including the step of producing correlation queries based upon predetermined criteria.

51. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further including the step of producing correlation queries based upon selective criteria.

52. The method as set forth in Claim 51, further including the step of producing correlation reports based upon said correlation queries.

53. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further including the step of correlating tampering data with said crime incident data to determine whether said likely suspects of said crime are more likely to have committed said crime based upon said tampering data.

54. The method as set forth in Claims 35, 36 or 37, further including the step of correlating unknown location data with said crime incident data to

determine whether said likely suspects of said crime are more likely to have committed said crime based upon said unknown position data.

B